

Belfast City Council

Report to: Strategic Policy and Resources Committee	
Subject:	Maximising the Regeneration Impact of Stadia
Date:	8 March 2013
Reporting Officer:	Gerry Millar, Director of Property and Projects, Ext: 6217
Contact Officer:	Gerry Millar, Director of Property and Projects, Ext: 6217
Contact Officer:	Gerry Millar, Director of Property and Projects, Ext: 6217

1	Relevant Background Information
1.1	In receiving a presentation on the Leisure Estate Review at a special meeting on 1 March 2013 the Committee asked that a further detailed report was produced detailing progress and regeneration opportunities in relation to the stadia development.

2	Progress to Date
2.1	In 2011 the Council commissioned and endorsed a report that set out potential regeneration opportunities in the broader areas adjacent to the two stadia and tasked Council officers to engage with the stadia developers to mutual advantage.
2.2	For most of 2012 engagement was limited due in large part to the sporting bodies ie IFA and GAA together with Sports NI, who were managing the process, being fully focussed on the stadium delivery. There is a tight timeline for delivery in that the public money allocated to the stadium must be spent within the Comprehensive Spending Review period which ends in 2015 or else it is at risk. As a result very limited attention was given to wider regeneration issues.
2.3	During 2012 the Department of Culture Arts and Leisure (DCAL) took a more hands on approach to the delivery process and began to seek evidence of community benefit from the stadium schemes given the level of public money being made available. DCAL retain a percentage of the overall funding for the stadia which it can make available for sustainable community benefit if it is satisfied with the proposals.
2.4	In November 2012 DCAL officials met Council staff to seek Council support in creating additional community benefit. While this was a welcome approach it is very tightly time bound as DCAL was seeking Council commitment in line with its stadium delivery schedule which had started and requires major Council

	desisions by April/May 2012
	decisions by April/May 2013.
2.5	Committee in December 2012 agreed that officials should continue to work on a strategy with DCAL, IFA and GAA to ensure the greatest mutual benefit in terms on community gain from these projects and to report back in January in the context of the Leisure Estate Review.
2.6	In January 2013 Committee agreed:
	 to note the potential for comprehensive regeneration at both sites through collaborative development and the wider social, physical and economic benefits possible through maximising the major DCAL investment as a key element of Phase 1 of the Leisure Review.
	 to approve in principle the redevelopment of both the Olympia and Andersonstown Leisure Centres and associated assets to form Phase 1 of the Citywide Leisure Review to allow progression to stage 2 appraisal as part of the Capital Programme.
	 to approve the proposed timetable and process for concurrent development of options for both sites
	 to note the proposed public engagement focus on options
	 to approve the progression of further analysis of options 1 and 2 emerging from the feasibility study on Windsor/Midgely Park/Olympia by Hamilton Architects
	 to note the continuing development of initial concepts around Casement Park/Andersonstown by Consarc Design Group.

3	Key Issues – Windsor/Olympia
3.1	Option 2 which includes moving leisure provision into the new stadium; relocation of community centre provision into the Village area; redevelopment of the Olympia site to provide a new alignment and replacement for Midgely Park; a new pitch; play provision; some commercial development and new public realm and access to the stadium from Boucher Road was the Council preference.
3.2	Two community engagement sessions have taken place and during debate option 2 is closely aligned to public response although further consultation will be necessary on detail.
3.3	DCAL/Linfield and the IFA also favour this option as the Council use of stadium space for leisure in the widest sense meets the sustainable community benefit criteria and of course it provides a much better setting and access for the new stadium adding to its offer.
3.4	Currently an economic appraisal is underway to test the extent of leisure offer available in the stadium space, the cost of the overall option as opposed to replacing Olympia as is, including IFA rental agreements with Linfield on Midgely Park etc.
3.5	If option 2 is viable it can really only happen in two phases:

- (i) to build the leisure element in the stadium and;
- (ii) when that is complete demolish Olympia and redevelop the site as Phase
 2. Obviously this allows continuity in terms of leisure provision but will mean some temporary loss of pitch provision to both the Council and Linfield.
- 3.6 The big issue for DCAL/IFA in regard to this is timetable. Planning permission for Windsor has been granted including an extension to the West stand which could provide leisure space. DCAL/IFA intend to begin construction in September and would need a decision from Council in April/May as to whether or not option 2 Phase 1 and 2 is a consideration for the tendering process.
- 3.7 It is intended that worked up capital costs for the option(s) to redevelop Olympia will be available for Members in the April/May period. Obviously this will be a major decision in terms of capital spend as Phase 2 and Andersonstown Sites run in conjunction if approved.

4	Key Issues – Casement/Andersonstown
4.1	Options for collaborative leisure at Casement/Andersonstown essentially is one of three broad options:
	(i) development leisure space within the stadium equivalent in space terms to the existing leisure centre and redevelop the leisure site in some other way;
	(ii) Share leisure provision with between the stadium and a replacement of the Andersonstown Leisure Centre site.
	(iii) Provide all new leisure facilities at the Andersonstown Leisure Centre site and have a different form of community benefit associated with the stadium.
4.2	A number of meetings took place with the GAA integrated design team to test option (i) and after some difficulties about available space proposals were identified that could provide equivalent floor space within the stadium to include both wet and dry facilities.
4.3	The option however had some obvious drawbacks in that it required additional basement development for a pool which besides construction cost increases had implications for the stadium timetable which is running behind the Windsor Park programme. Furthermore there may be operational and access restriction on major match days and it would take a further two months design work to get a real understanding of cost and facilities available.
4.4	A public meeting was also held in Andersonstown Leisure Centre which was very well attended in regard to the three options above and there was overwhelming support for option (iii) i.e replace the Andersonstown Leisure Centre on its existing site. Both DCAL and the GAA were at the public meeting.
4.5	Although one public meeting does not represent everyone the view from political representatives in the area is also that a replacement of Andersonstown on the existing site is preferable with a different form of community benefit to be derived from the stadium development.

6	Resource Implications
5.3	Member's views are sought on these over the next few weeks as Council officers engage with other departments in terms of making these plans reality.
5.2	Various reports commissioned by the Council have identified the major physical, social and economic regenerative impacts of stadia development and the consequent economic multiplier effect. Consideration needs to be given to the benefits of greater construction spend, the use of social clauses, tourism, economic development, support etc.
5 5.1	Comprehensive Regeneration DCAL have had one meeting of an interdepartmental group intended to create comprehensive regeneration plans which a Council officer attended. Rather than wait until this group again meets and as per the Committee's decision on 1 March, Council officers have begun developing comprehensive regeneration plans, the first cut of which is attached as an appendix.
4.10	Council officers have also been in contact with DSD who have a high level South West Gateway Masterplan which they are about to begin to operationalise particularly in regard to traffic issues and would be keen to work with Council.
4.9	The planners were opposed to any car park provision on the site associated with the stadium development however it may be worthwhile for the Council to commission a wider traffic impact study in the effect of both stadiums and associated regeneration plans given the potential impact to the South West of the City and the Boucher Road area in particular which contains a number of Council assets.
4.8	In terms of the Leisure Centre site initial planning assessment indicate that planning would be available for the replacement Leisure Centre on the same location or included behind the existing centre to allow continuity of provision during construction and then some commercial development on the road frontage. There will of course be some issues with neighbours and tenants ie Ulster Bank.
4.7	As noted DCAL have constituted an interdepartmental group to develop comprehensive regeneration plans and have indicated to Council officers that community benefit could be extended outside the stadium boundary to potentially North Link pitches eg the Ravenhill stadium community benefit proposal is considering a pitch in a nearby school.
4.6	Council officers view is that there is very limited scope in continuing with a leisure centre within the stadium option given the obvious difficulties. We have however continued engagement with DCAL officials as to how best to ensure community benefit for the public money associated with Casement.

Г

6	Resource implications
6.1	<u>Financial</u> Not quantified at this stage but likely to involve significant financial investment in regard to the two leisure centres and associated assets required to fulfil regeneration opportunities.
6.2	Human Resources There will be significant staff resource requirements from relevant departments in

		order to develop each project in line with DCAL's tight timeframe.
6.	.3	Potential staffing issues will be examined as part of the overall review of the leisure estate. Any resultant HR issues will be managed in accordance with the Council's processes, policies and procedures for dealing with HR matters and TU consultation.
6.	.4	Asset and Other Implications Unknown at this stage, however comprehensive regeneration of the significant stadium developments should lead to improved services and benefits for the community and aesthetic improvements at Council sites.

7	Recommendations
7.1	The Committee is asked to:
	1. To note progress to date.
	 To move both regeneration plans forward in tandem and in conjunction with government departments given the one off strategic opportunity and DCAL community benefit commitment.
	3. To engage in whichever way suits Member best with officers and provide feedback on the appended draft regeneration plans.
	4. To continue the in principle decision to move both the Olympia and Andersonstown Leisure Centres to stage 2 of the Capital Programme as the first phase of the Citywide Leisure Estate Review; and to develop both regeneration plans concurrently as far as possible working toward an investment decision in May with a completion date in 2016.
	 To refocus the economic appraisal at the Casement/Andersonstown development on the Andersonstown site and maximise regeneration benefits of both the Leisure Centre and Stadium.
	 To authorise officers to undertake a wider traffic impact study for South West Belfast in conjunction with DSD in the first instance.

8 Decision Tracking

The Director of Property and Projects will be responsible for the development of this project through the capital programme delivery process.

9 Key to Abbreviations

IFA – Irish Football Association

GAA – Gaelic Athletic Association

DCAL – Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure

10 Documents Attached

Appendix 1 – Draft Regeneration Plans